

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,497	12/12/2005	Lars Terje Holmaas	PN0324	4578
36335 GE HEALTHO	7590 10/09/2008	EXAMINER		
IP DEPARTM	ENT	KATAKAM, SUDHAKAR		
101 CARNEG PRINCETON	IE CENTER NJ 08540-6231		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	10 000 10 0201		1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/560,497	HOLMAAS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sudhakar Katakam	1621		

Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or or Reply	n the cove	r sheet with the correspondence address
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE WHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF histories of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In SUC (5) MONTHS from the realing date of this communication. In price for reply is specified above, the monthum statutory period will apply a price for reply is specified above, the monthum statutory period will apply a reply received by the Office later than three months after the maining date of it ad patient term distinctions. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	THIS Cono event, how and will expire application	OMMUNICATION. ever, may a reply be timely filed s IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1112	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2006	Ω	
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action		al
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance exc		
تار ت	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte		•
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
41⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	onside	ration.
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
.—	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	on require	ement.
Applicati	ion Papers		
91	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted o	or b)□ ob	jected to by the Examiner.
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is re	. ,	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)[🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:		
-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been rec	eived.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have		
	3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc		
	application from the International Bureau (PCT		
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	certified c	opies not received.
Attachmen	t(s)		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (F10/SS/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6)	

U.S.	Patent	and	Trade	mark 9	Office
PT	OL-32	26 (Rev.	08-0	6)

Application/Control Number: 10/560,497 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 14th July 2008 has been entered.
- Receipt of Applicant's Remarks and Arguments filed on 14th July 2008 is acknowledged. However, the arguments for the 103(a) rejection are not found persuasive and as such, the following rejection has been maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,497

Art Unit: 1621

 Claims 1-21 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malthe-Sorenssen (US 5,948,940) for the reasons of record in the Office Action dated 14th April 2008.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed on 14th July 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that 1-methoxy-2-propanol is very different from 2-methoxy-ethanol in the manufacture of iohexol. Applicants also argue that even though the solvents in question only differ by one carbon, they act very differently and attempt to demonstrate so by way of comparative data shown in the table 1 and 2.

However, results in tables 1 and 2, such as yield/purity of the product and the amount of other impurities, are not significantly different from the closest prior art.

Please note that the ratio of amount of NaOH added to the reactants is different compared to the ratio mentioned in the example 1 of prior art. Also the ratios of different reactants used in the instant application are different from the prior art. This difference may cause slight difference in the purity and yield of the product, since applicants did not show real side by side comparison with the closest prior art. Are these differences due to the different ratios of the reactants or the solvents differed by a methyl group?

Applicants' showings are also not commensurate with the scope of the claims, since applicants show only one compound in the specification, but claimed large number of solvents and co-solvents.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,497

Art Unit: 1621

Applicants allege that the use of 1-methoxy-2-propanol to give unexpected beneficial results, especially in the declaration. However, applicants are not shown beneficial side by side comparison with the closest prior art. Please note that 1-methoxy-2-propanol is adjacent homologue to the 2-methoxy-ethanol and expect to have similar properties.

With regard to the WO 02/083623, Malthe-Sorenssen also showed the use of co-solvents in the preparation of johexol.

So, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to use 1-methoxy-2-propanol in view of **Malthe-Sorenssen**, with a reasonable expectation of success of making the final product in the prior art.

7. This is a RCE of applicant's earlier application No. 10/560,497. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1621

the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Correspondence

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sudhakar Katakam whose telephone number is 571-272-9929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Sullivan can be reached on 571-272-0779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter G O'Sullivan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621